



REPÚBLICA DEMOCRÁTICA DE SÃO TOMÉ E PRÍNCIPE

**FIRST FEASIBILITY STUDY ABOUT THE
INFRASTRUCTURES RELATED TO THE ELETTRIFICATION
OF SAO TOME ISLAND**

Udine (Italy) , June 2007

ing. Gianni De Cecco

INARCO
UDINE-FRIULI V.G. - ITALY

GUINEA EQUATORIAL ENGINEERING CONSULTING

CONTENT:

1	PREMISE.....	3
2	ELECTRICAL ENERGY NEEDS ASSESMENT.....	4
3	EVALUATION OF THE AVAILABLE PRODUCTION.....	5
4	THE THERMOELECTRIC POWERPLANT	6
5	ANALYSIS OF THE COSTS NECESSARY FOR THE REALIZATION OF THE HYDROELECTRIC POWER PLANTS AND THE THERMOELECTRIC ONE.....	7

1 PREMISE

The present elaborate derives from a **first analysis** about the feasibility of the electrification of the island of Sao Tome which will guarantee the electricity supply to the entire territory of the Island.

The electrification includes the production plants and the electricity primary and secondary distribution lines.

The analysis starts with the examination of the projects and studies already produced about the specific topic, takes into account the 2001 population census survey and the considerations aroused from a preliminary survey of the intervention area.

Once there will be an agreement about the general idea all the interventions that will need to be realized will be defined and organized by phase according to the available financial resources.

2 ELECTRICAL ENERGY NEEDS ASSESSTMENT

The electrical energy needs of the island of Sao Tome have been calculated in the following way:

⇒ Average power used per household (lighting, household appliances, air conditioning, TV, external lighting, etc..)	5,7 kW
⇒ Simultaneity coefficient =	0,4
⇒ Maximum simultaneous power per household = $5,7 \times 0,4 =$	2,3 kW
⇒ Average number of members per household =	6
⇒ Population estimation in 2010 (survey 2001)=	~ 160.000
⇒ Number of households (dwellings) = $160.000/6 =$	~ 27.000
⇒ Total maximum power = $2,3\text{Kw} \times 27.000$ households	62.100 kW
⇒ Power needs for public facilities (airport, hospitals, media centres, press centres – TV and radio, government palaces, public services, police services and civil protection, etc.) = $2.000 \text{ kW} \times 0,7$ (simultaneity coefficient) =	1.400 kW
⇒ Hotels and tourism =	
50 units $\times 20 \text{ kW} \times 0,7$ (simultaneity coefficient) =	700 kW
⇒ Public lighting (considering a light point every 25 m for a total of 50 km of lighted roads)	
= $50.000/25 = 2.000$ points $\times 0,75 \text{ kW} =$	1.500 kW
⇒ Total simultaneous power =	<u>65.700 kW</u>

As a check we can confront this value with the one obtained considering the average needs per capita.

The average daily consumption per capita of an Italian region for civil uses amounts to 0,462 kW per person.

By multiplying this value by the population assessed in 2010 for the island of Sao Tome, a value of ca 74.000kW is obtained.

This value is comparable with the one previously determined.

This amount is referred only to civil usage, excluding the industrial one.

Considering a population of ca 160.000 persons and an expected industrial and economical development of the island in the near and medium term future, a need of ca 80 MW is assessed, of which 1/3 concentrated in the area around the capital.

3 EVALUATION OF THE AVAILABLE PRODUCTION

The power produced by the hydroelectric plants that can be realized on the island is, as deduced from the study conducted by Hidrorumos, nominally equal to 28 MW in case of spate rivers and 6MW in periods of low flow.

Hence the power that will have to be produced with other energy sources varies as follows:

- $80 - 28 = 52$ MW when the rivers have got the maximum flow;
- $80 - 6 = 74$ MW in case of low flow.

Currently a power of 8MW is available and it is produced by the following plants:

- 1 MW (2MW theoretical) hydroelectric plant
- 7 MW diesel plant in Sao Tomè.

The first phase project, that will be soon contracted, together with some eventual private investments, will bring to the realization of two power plants working with generator sets that will provide 12MW:

- 1 Plant in Sao Tomè (in the immediate outskirt):
 - two 2MW generator sets for a total of 4MW
 - and the setting for the future installation of an extra generator set with the power of 2MW.
- 1 Plant near Rio Grande with 6MW power produced by 3 generator sets. This plant is planned near the outfall of the Rio Grande river in such a way that in the future, when the hydroelectric plant will be realized (produced power estimated by HIDRORUMO: maximum 6,9 MW, minimum 1,5 MW) it will compensate the power produced in the low flow periods.

Once realized the interventions above mentioned, a produced power of 20MW will be reached.

A **thermoelectric power plant** will also have to be realized in order to cover the total needs of the island of Sao Tomè. Such a plant, which has got a better performance compared to the generator sets, will possibly be methane-fuelled and will produce a minimum power of:

$80\text{MW} - (8\text{MW current} + 12\text{MW planned} + 6\text{MW minimum hydroelectric power}) = 54$ MW

In this calculation we assume that the plants realized with the generator sets will compensate the difference of power produced by the hydroelectric plants in the different periods of the year: $28\text{MW} - 8\text{MW} = 20\text{MW}$ ca.

It is obvious that when the investment for the thermoelectric plant will be programmed, an increment of its power could be considered in order to reduce the power produced by the generator sets, which is much more expensive.

4 THE THERMOELECTRIC POWERPLANT

As already mentioned in the previous chapter, a thermoelectric plant will also have to be realized, fuelled with methane or petrol, and situated in the vicinity of the planned new harbour.

The one fuelled with methane is less complex and less expensive, in terms of construction, operating phase and maintenance.

In fact while in the petrol one it is necessary to build a heat absorption unit, in the methane-fuelled one it can be omitted.

The petrol-fuelled plant uses steam or diathermic oil as expansion fluid in the turbine for the production of electricity.

For this reason it is necessary to have a system to dissipate the produced heat through an absorption unit which produces refrigerated water using the exhaust saturated steam from the turbines and the cooling water.

A system of regeneration and production of refrigerated water at 7 °C, with evaporation tower, could be installed for this purpose. The water could then be used as cooler in the harbour (air-conditioning, refrigerating cells).

Such a system requires a modest quantity of fresh water, which could be taken from the nearby Rio do Ouro.

The thermoelectric plant would provide the basic energy necessary to the island; the difference could be obtained with the construction of more powerful hydroelectric power plants.

The energy produced by the thermoelectric power plant will be brought to the capital, the centre of higher consumption, through a 130kV line; a transformation station 130/30 kV will be set around the capital for the connection to the existing net.

From this station more 30kV lines will depart for the distribution in the entire island.

5 ANALYSIS OF THE COSTS NECESSARY FOR THE REALIZATION OF THE HYDROELECTRIC POWER PLANTS AND THE THERMOELECTRIC ONE

The study produced by HIDRORUMO includes also the construction costs of the hydroelectric power plants which are planned to be built.

The following tables show the costs reported in the HIDRORUMO study, and their updating for 2007:

Construction costs for the hydroelectric power plants in US\$ - year 1996											
n.	Plant Name	Min. production [MW]	Max. production [MW]	Works for the civil construction			Mechanic works		sub-total (b)	other costs (c)	total cost
				provisional works (a)	intake works	plant	hydraulic circuit	plant			
				[MUS\$ 1996]	[MUS\$ 1996]	[MUS\$ 1996]	[MUS\$ 1996]	[MUS\$ 1996]	[MUS\$ 1996]	[MUS\$ 1996]	[MUS\$ 1996]
3	Ouro 5	0,312	1,139	0,456	1,608	0,214	0,851	0,402	3,531	1,347	4,878
8	Ouro 4	0,167	0,873	0,603	1,273	0,214	0,683	0,134	2,908	1,106	4,013
15	Ouro 3	0,122	0,780	0,643	0,992	0,228	0,878	0,724	3,464	1,320	4,784
13	Ouro 2	0,299	0,486	0,643	1,219	0,214	0,683	0,456	3,216	1,226	4,442
2	Man.Jorge 4	0,185	0,898	0,643	0,462	0,201	0,925	0,637	2,868	1,018	3,886
9	Man.Jorge 3	0,330	0,517	0,456	0,449	0,228	0,864	0,147	2,144	0,817	2,961
7	Man.Jorge 2	0,190	0,818	0,456	1,065	0,228	0,958	0,201	2,908	1,112	4,020
14	Man.Jorge 1	0,285	0,443	0,456	1,327	0,214	0,543	0,456	2,995	1,199	4,194
1	Abade 3	0,300	1,842	0,603	0,777	0,295	1,474	0,992	4,141	1,521	5,662
5	Abade 2	0,440	2,382	0,456	3,290	0,221	1,213	2,171	7,350	2,854	10,204
11	Abade 1	1,100	2,358	0,603	5,313	0,261	1,434	1,796	9,407	4,643	14,050
6	lô Grande 2	0,640	5,889	3,397	13,199	0,328	2,305	1,159	20,388	6,801	27,189
12	lô Grande 1	1,500	6,879	0,878	3,424	0,496	3,196	9,574	17,567	14,941	32,508
4	Lemba 2	0,210	2,829	22,619	5,682	0,275	1,494	0,375	30,445	3,203	33,647
10	Papagaio 1	0,025	0,563	0,456	0,764	0,161	0,891	0,348	2,620	0,998	3,618
	TOTAL	6,105	28,696	33,366	40,843	3,779	18,392	19,571	115,95	44,106	160,06

(a) costs for the construction site arrangement: access roads, digging excavations and embankments

(b) sub-total determined summing all the civil and mechanic works

(c) technical expences, geological campaign, funding management

(d) total cost obtained summing the sun-total b) and other costs (c)

civil work costs updating - from 1996 to 2007 149,000 %

mechanic works costs updating - from 1996 to 2007 158,000 %

technical expences updating - from 1996 to 2008 111,000 %

Construction costs for the hydroelectric power plants in US\$ - updated 2007

n.	Plant Name	Min. production [MW]	Max. production [MW]	Works for the civil construction			Mechanic works		sub-total (b) [MUS\$ 2007]	other costs (c) [MUS\$ 2007]	total cost [MUS\$ 2007]
				provisional works (a) [MUS\$ 2007]	intake works [MUS\$ 2007]	plant [MUS\$ 2007]	hydraulic circuit [MUS\$ 2007]	plant [MUS\$ 2007]			
3	Ouro 5	0,312	1,139	0,679	2,396	0,319	1,344	0,635	5,374	1,495	6,869
8	Ouro 4	0,167	0,873	0,898	1,897	0,319	1,080	0,212	4,406	1,227	5,633
15	Ouro 3	0,122	0,780	0,958	1,477	0,339	1,387	1,143	5,305	1,465	6,770
13	Ouro 2	0,299	0,486	0,958	1,817	0,319	1,080	0,720	4,894	1,361	6,255
2	Man.Jorge 4	0,185	0,898	0,958	0,689	0,299	1,461	1,006	4,413	1,130	5,544
9	Man.Jorge 3	0,330	0,517	0,679	0,669	0,339	1,366	0,233	3,286	0,907	4,193
7	Man.Jorge 2	0,190	0,818	0,679	1,587	0,339	1,514	0,318	4,437	1,235	5,671
14	Man.Jorge 1	0,285	0,443	0,679	1,977	0,319	0,857	0,720	4,552	1,331	5,883
1	Abade 3	0,300	1,842	0,898	1,158	0,439	2,329	1,567	6,391	1,688	8,080
5	Abade 2	0,440	2,382	0,679	4,902	0,329	1,916	3,430	11,256	3,168	14,424
11	Abade 1	1,100	2,358	0,898	7,917	0,389	2,265	2,837	14,307	5,154	19,461
6	Iô Grande 2	0,640	5,889	5,061	19,667	0,489	3,642	1,831	30,690	7,549	38,239
12	Iô Grande 1	1,500	6,879	1,308	5,101	0,739	5,050	15,127	27,325	16,585	43,909
4	Lemba 2	0,210	2,829	33,703	8,466	0,409	2,361	0,593	45,531	3,555	49,086
10	Papagaio 1	0,025	0,563	0,679	1,138	0,240	1,408	0,550	4,015	1,108	5,123
	TOTAL	6,105	28,696	49,715	60,856	5,630	29,059	30,922	176,18	48,958	225,14

ratio €/US\$ 0,742 (07/06/2007)

Construction costs for the hydroelectric power plants in €- updated 2007

n.	Plant Name	Min. production [MW]	Max. production [MW]	Works for the civil construction			Mechanic works		sub-total (b) [M€ 2007]	other costs (c) [M€ 2007]	total cost [M€ 2007]
				provisional works (a) [M€ 2007]	intake works [M€ 2007]	plant [M€ 2007]	hydraulic circuit [M€ 2007]	plant [M€ 2007]			
3	Ouro 5	0,312	1,139	0,504	1,778	0,237	0,998	0,471	3,987	1,109	5,097
8	Ouro 4	0,167	0,873	0,667	1,407	0,237	0,801	0,157	3,269	0,911	4,180
15	Ouro 3	0,122	0,780	0,711	1,096	0,252	1,029	0,848	3,937	1,087	5,024
13	Ouro 2	0,299	0,486	0,711	1,348	0,237	0,801	0,534	3,632	1,010	4,641
2	Man.Jorge 4	0,185	0,898	0,711	0,511	0,222	1,084	0,746	3,275	0,839	4,113
9	Man.Jorge 3	0,330	0,517	0,504	0,496	0,252	1,013	0,173	2,438	0,673	3,111
7	Man.Jorge 2	0,190	0,818	0,504	1,178	0,252	1,123	0,236	3,292	0,916	4,208
14	Man.Jorge 1	0,285	0,443	0,504	1,467	0,237	0,636	0,534	3,378	0,988	4,366
1	Abade 3	0,300	1,842	0,667	0,859	0,326	1,728	1,163	4,742	1,253	5,995
5	Abade 2	0,440	2,382	0,504	3,637	0,244	1,422	2,545	8,352	2,351	10,703
11	Abade 1	1,100	2,358	0,667	5,874	0,289	1,681	2,105	10,616	3,824	14,440
6	Iô Grande 2	0,640	5,889	3,756	14,593	0,363	2,702	1,359	22,772	5,601	28,373
12	Iô Grande 1	1,500	6,879	0,970	3,785	0,548	3,747	11,225	20,275	12,306	32,581
4	Lemba 2	0,210	2,829	25,007	6,281	0,304	1,752	0,440	33,784	2,638	36,422
10	Papagaio 1	0,025	0,563	0,504	0,844	0,178	1,045	0,408	2,979	0,822	3,801
	TOTAL	6,105	28,696	36,889	45,155	4,178	21,561	22,944	130,73	36,327	167,05

From the previous tables derives that the minimum guaranteed production of electricity from all hydroelectric plants of Sao Tomè amounts to $6.105 \cdot 0.025 = 6.08$ MW and the maximum one, when the rivers have got the maximum flow, amounts to $28.696 - 0.563 = 28.133$ MW.

The costs updated to 2007 for the realization of the hydroelectric power plants amount to $167,05 - 3,801 = 163,249$ million euros or to $224,14 - 5,123$ million US dollars.

The cost per MW produced amounts in 1996 to:

- with low flow rivers $156 \text{ M\$}/6.08\text{MW} = \mathbf{25,65 \text{ M\$}}$
- with high flow rivers $156 \text{ M\$}/28\text{MW} = \mathbf{5,57 \text{ M\$}}$

The cost per MW produced amounts in 2007 to:

- with low flow rivers $163 \text{ M€}/6.08\text{MW} = \mathbf{26,81 \text{ M€}}$
- with high flow rivers $163 \text{ M€}/28\text{MW} = \mathbf{5,82 \text{ M€}}$

A thermoelectric power plant with a power of 50 MW, has got an estimated cost which amounts approximately to the following values:

- a) with a gas-fuelled turbine the building cost is circa 59.600.000,00 euro. To this value we can add the absorption unit, which is not essential for the functioning of the plant, but allows the regeneration of the heat that would be otherwise dissipated from the exhaust gas. The estimated cost of such a unit is circa 10.670.000,00 € for a rounded total of 70.270.000,00 €. The cost per MW amounts to:
 - for the power plant $59.600.000,00\text{€}/50\text{MW} = \mathbf{1.192.000 \text{ €/MW}}$
 - with the installation of an absorption unit $70.270.000,00\text{€}/50\text{MW} = \mathbf{1.400.000 \text{ €/MW}}$
- b) with a steam turbine an absorption unit is always required and the total cost amounts to 65.640.000,00€. The cost per MW amounts therefore to $65.640.000,00\text{€}/50\text{MW} = \mathbf{1.312.800 \text{ €/MW}}$

IN.AR.CO. srl

GEECO sa
ing. Gianni De Cecco